

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE  
ROWAN COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS  
May 3, 2004 – 9:00 AM  
J. NEWTON COHEN, SR. ROOM, ADMINISTRATION BUILDING**

Present: Gus Andrews, Chairman  
Frank Tadlock, Vice-Chairman  
Leda Belk, Member  
Chad Mitchell, Member  
Steve Blount, Member

The County Manager, the Clerk to the Board, the County Attorney and the Finance Director were also present.

Chairman Andrews convened the meeting at 9:00 am.

Commissioner Tadlock provided the Invocation and Commissioner Mitchell led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**COMMISSIONERS LIAISON REPORTS**

Commissioner Belk reported on the groundbreaking ceremony for the Nature Center at Dan Nicholas Park and the importance of the Nature Center to the citizens.

Commissioner Belk said over 100 people had attended the Open House for the new South Rowan Ambulance Station and acknowledged that this was good for Rowan County.

**CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA**

Commissioner Mitchell moved to approve the Consent Agenda as presented. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Blount and passed unanimously. The Consent Agenda consisted of the following:

- A. Approval of the April 5, 2004 minutes
- B. Approval to set a public hearing for Z-04-04, a request from Hall Steele
- C. Approval to set a public hearing for CUP-08-04, a request from Yates Development, LLC
- D. Approval of JCPC Funding
- E. Approval of Proclamation for Information & Referral Awareness Month for May 2004
- F. Budget Amendments

### **RECOGNITION AND RECEPTION FOR WAYNE ASHWORTH**

Chairman Andrews declared it a privilege to have the opportunity to recognize retired EMS Director, Wayne Ashworth, for his many years of service to the County.

County Manager Tim Russell provided the background that led to the establishment of Rowan County's Ambulance Service and the employment of Wayne Ashworth. Mr. Russell said the process had provided Rowan County with a "first class professional emergency services organization" and attributed a large portion of its success to the leadership of Mr. Ashworth. Mr. Russell said Mr. Ashworth was hired as Rowan County's first EMS Director in 1985.

Mr. Russell praised the Mr. Ashworth's management and highlighted the accomplishments for Emergency Services, including the installation and operation of an enhanced 911 center, improvements to rural fire protection services, approximately 6 ambulance stations throughout the county, establishment of an Emergency Management Advisory Committee and a Homeland Security Program.

Mr. Russell said Rowan County citizens are "much better off today in the delivery of emergency services than any county in North Carolina."

Chairman Andrews said it was an honor to recognize Mr. Ashworth and called him forward for the presentation of a plaque. A round of applause followed the reading and presentation of the plaque.

Mr. Ashworth thanked Mr. Russell for his support during the past eighteen (18) years and said he had admired and enjoyed working for Mr. Russell. Mr. Ashworth thanked Commissioner Belk for her work as the Board's liaison for EMS. Mr. Ashworth expressed pleasure that Frank Thomason had been selected as the new EMS Director and said the department "would be in good hands." Mr. Ashworth thanked the Board for the recognition and presentation.

### **BREAK FOR RECEPTION**

Chairman Andrews called for a short break at 9:15 am in order to honor Mr. Ashworth with a reception.

Chairman Andrews reconvened the meeting at 9:35 am.

**APPROVAL OF THE PROCLAMATIONS FOR SHIELD-A-BADGE WITH PRAYER AWARENESS MONTH AND LAW ENFORCEMENT WEEK**

Reverend Michael Taylor said the Shield-A-Badge With Prayer Program was beginning its tenth year and said he appreciates the work that law enforcement officers perform. Reverend Taylor said the program asks people in the community to pray for an officer every day for one year.

Reverend Taylor reported that funds had been raised to provide 3,000 copies of the New Testaments to law enforcement officers in Rowan County.

Reverend Taylor requested that the Board proclaim the month of May as the Shield-A-Badge With Prayer Awareness Month.

Chairman Andrews read the Proclamation declaring May as the Shield-A-Badge With Prayer Awareness Month.

Commissioner Mitchell moved to approve the Proclamation as presented. Commissioner Tadlock seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Reverend Taylor requested that the Board proclaim Law Enforcement Week to be May 9-15, 2004, in order to let the officers know how much they are appreciated and to also pay respect for those that had given their lives in the line of duty.

Chairman Andrews read the Proclamation declaring May 9-15, 2004 to be Law Enforcement Week.

Commissioner Blount moved to approve the Proclamation as presented and Commissioner Belk seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Andrews presented the Proclamations to Reverend Taylor.

Chairman Andrews acknowledged the Sheriff and several law enforcement officers in attendance and thanked them for attending the meeting.

**FIRST READING OF THE PROPOSED FRANCHISE AGREEMENT WITH TIMEWARNER**

David Harris of Piedmont Triad Council of Governments said he had been working with county staff for approximately eight (8) months on negotiating a franchise renewal with TimeWarner Cable. Mr. Harris said the “draft” franchise was before the Board for consideration for a term of fifteen (15) years. Mr. Harris said the county would receive a five percent franchise fee based on the gross revenues described in the cable ordinance.

Mr. Harris highlighted the agreement and the facilities that would receive “no cost cable.”

Commissioner Belk asked if Dan Nicholas Park (DNP) could be included due to the educational programs provided at the park. Mr. Russell said that all county facilities are included as long as they are within 150’ of the drop. Mr. Russell said if the service was expanded to within 150’ of DNP, the cable service was guaranteed.

In response to a query from Commissioner Mitchell, Mr. Russell explained that the agreement is a “non-exclusive agreement” which means that anyone can make application to the Board for operating cable within Rowan County.

Mr. Russell explained that the City of Salisbury would provide \$10,000 in “seed money” for equipment to provide a government access channel. Mr. Russell explained why the “government” had stayed away from the public access channel.

Commissioner Blount moved to approve the first reading of the franchise agreement. Commissioner Belk seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

#### **PUBLIC HEARING FOR A UNANIMOUS PETITION FOR BEAVER LEYVAS WAY**

Fredda Greer of the Rowan County Planning Department said the petition was presented due to a third dwelling being added to the proposed road. Ms. Greer reported that Pressley Franklin Beaver had presented the unanimous petition requesting to name the road Beaver Leyvas Way. Ms. Greer said staff had explained to Mr. Beaver that the use of personal names is not allowed and that Mr. Beaver had noted the names are two (2) different family names. Mr. Beaver was agreeable to make the name one word, Beaverleyvas Way. Staff suggested that the Board make a decision on the one word or two-word use.

Chairman Andrews opened the public hearing to entertain citizen input regarding the Unanimous Petition.

With no citizens wishing to address the Board, Chairman Andrews closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Blount moved to approve Beaver Leyvas Way. Commissioner Tadlock seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

#### **PUBLIC HEARING FOR A MAJORITY PETITION FOR GOODNIGHT LAKE ROAD**

Fredda Greer of the Rowan Planning Department said Goodnight Lake Road had previously been identified by ASI as a road needing to be named. Ms. Greer said a resident had pointed out there were only two (2) structures on the road at that time so the

request to name the road had been pulled. Ms. Greer said there is currently a third residence now being built and the road now meets the criteria for needing to be named.

Ms. Greer said staff recommends approval.

Chairman Andrews opened the public hearing to entertain citizen input regarding the Majority Petition.

With no citizens wishing to address the Board, Chairman Andrews closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Blount moved to approve the road name as presented. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Mitchell and passed unanimously.

**PUBLIC HEARING FOR CUP-07-04 FOR THE PROPOSED SPEEDWAY BUSINESS PARK SUBDIVISION**

Chairman Andrews read the Chairman’s Speech (Exhibit A) and declared the public hearing for CUP-07-04 to be in session. Chairman Andrews said the hearing would focus on an application submitted by Rowan County for the proposed Speedway Business Park subdivision consisting of Tax Parcels 401-020 and 406-001 located on Porter Road. Chairman Andrews said that due to location in the 85-ED-2 and CBI districts, the proposed subdivision requires conditional use review. Chairman Andrews explained that the hearing would not address issues related to the NC Quarter Midget Association facility.

The Clerk swore in those wishing to provide testimony in the case: Marion Lytle, Ed Muire, Don Conner and Cherry Vanhoy.

Ed Muire, staff member of the Rowan County Planning Department located at 402 North Main Street in Salisbury, presented the Staff Report (Exhibit B). Mr. Muire explained that the request was from Rowan County for a concept subdivision approval for the Speedway Business Park. Mr. Muire referred to the Zoning Ordinance and said Article II Section 21-34f classifies any subdivision of property in an 85-ED zoning district as a planned unit development (PUD). Mr. Muire said the “concept differs somewhat” from a planned unit development.

Mr. Muire said proposed park was zoned CBI and using the map (Exhibit C) included in the agenda packets, Mr. Muire highlighted the park and surrounding areas. Mr. Muire pointed out two (2) county-owned lots, which were part of Stafford Estates, and said the lots were not part of the project proposal but were shown due to the water line easement and its impact on providing water to the park. Mr. Muire said, “Those will remain Residential-Suburban.”

Mr. Muire described the park as a twelve-lot industrial park, designed to attract automotive and race-related businesses. Mr. Muire said the park collectively totals

approximately 61 acres and the frontage lots are the smaller lots in the park. Mr. Muire said the Quarter Midget Association Project was the largest tract within the park.

Mr. Muire highlighted the Specific Criteria (page 2 of Exhibit B) listed in the Staff Review:

1. **Buffers** – Mr. Muire said the required 40’ buffer from the project perimeter would be applied to lots 2-11 located in the 85-ED-2 district. Mr. Muire said along the southern boundary the required buffer would be contained within the offered 180’ natural buffer and the 150’ natural buffer provided along the eastern boundary with Stafford Estates. Mr. Muire said that if the site plan were adopted, the criteria would become part of the project requirements and would be enforced by the County.

Chairman Andrews interjected and requested that Mr. Muire move the map and repeat the information for the audience. Mr. Muire complied and repeated the information concerning the required buffers.

Mr. Muire continued to highlight the Specific Criteria (Exhibit B):

2. **Screening** – Mr. Muire said the ordinance requires that the predominant use of the subdivision dictate the screening requirements. Mr. Muire said realizing the intent is to market the park for automotive related businesses, Staff recommends utilizing the screening requirements for Type B buffers within the required 40’ buffer where existing vegetation fails to meet the intent of this requirement. Mr. Muire said the screening should consist of a row of large, maturing trees, not less than 10’ high at the time and planting and are spaced not more than 6’ apart; or an opaque fence located within the required buffer at a minimum height of 6’. Mr. Muire said the screening should also include a lawn of low-growing evergreen shrubs or broadleaf evergreens, rock or mulch.
3. **Street frontage** – Mr. Muire said the project exceeds the minimum road frontage requirements of 100’. Mr. Muire said the project has 286.26’ of frontage along Porter Road.
4. **Max lot coverage** – Mr. Muire did not review these criteria.
5. **Development size** – Mr. Muire said the gross acreage for the project totals 63.49 acres and exceeds the 20-acre lot size for PUDs.
6. **Subdivision requirements** – Mr. Muire said the project complies with all subdivision requirements for preliminary plats. Mr. Muire explained that once the final improvements have been completed or bonded the plat for the project might be recorded. Mr. Muire said the County is waiting for approval from NC DOT and the City of Salisbury for approval of road construction and utilities.
7. **Maximum building height** – Mr. Muire did not review these criteria.
8. **Parking** – Mr. Muire said the requirements for parking are based on the proposed uses and said the restrictive covenants prohibit on-street parking for any use within the park.

9. **Signs** – Mr. Muire said the County’s Zoning Ordinance does not address signs however, the restrictive covenants do have criteria. Mr. Muire said the criteria allows for one (1) freestanding sign per lot no more than fifty square feet in area and may extend no more than 10’ above ground. Mr. Muire said wall signs are allowed only on the building frontage and may not exceed 100 square feet in area.
10. **Circulation system** – Mr. Muire said the project has access to an interstate service road (Porter Road) and the internal roads were designed and built to commercial subdivision standards. Mr. Muire said connectivity to Stafford Estates was not encouraged since the residential subdivision is not an 85-ED district.
11. **Nuisance conditions** – Mr. Muire said any use within the park would be subject to the noise criteria in Zoning Ordinance. Mr. Muire said the decibel standards from 7 am to 11 pm were not to exceed 70 dB and not to exceed 65 dB from 11pm to 7 am.
12. **Loading, maintenance and outdoor storage areas** – Mr. Muire said loading docks were to be sited to the rear of the building and said Staff would verify the requirements for this item on an individual basis, based on the site plans.
13. **Open space** – Mr. Muire said no dedicated open space is designated but the buffering areas to the south, east and wetlands areas offer pockets of open space. Mr. Muire said the restrictive covenants for the park place a premium on maintaining the, “natural tree cover and other unique characteristics of the landscape, including wetlands.”
14. **Lighting**- Mr. Muire said the lighting height is limited to 25’.
15. **Building character and style** – Mr. Muire said these criteria are addressed in the protective covenants that mandate that exterior finish have an architectural masonry base.

Commissioner Mitchell used an example and asked if the clearing and development of lots 8 and 9 would have any affect on the noise regulations that had been placed on the Quarter Midget facility. Mr. Muire said the Quarter Midget facility would still be subject to the noise standard requirements, “even if all the lots have been cleared and developed.”

Commissioner Mitchell used another example and questioned the impact of noise regulations on lot 11 if lots 8 or 9 cleared the land “more than it currently is.” Mr. Muire said, “I imagine it would have some impact; I can’t answer that question.” Mr. Muire pointed out the buffering required along the side of the Quarter Midget facility and said it would absorb some of the noise.

Mr. Muire continued by discussing the General Review Criteria (Exhibit B):

1. **Adequate transportation to the site exists** – Mr. Muire said that as mentioned earlier, the internal road had been designed and built to NC DOT standards. Mr. Muire said that existing 11’ lane widths for Porter Road indicates that the road is designed to accommodate over 2000 vehicles per day. Mr. Muire said Peach Orchard Road has the design capacity for 8,000-

- 12,000 vehicles per day. Mr. Muire referred to page 4 of the Staff Report (Exhibit B) and highlighted the projected average daily traffic for Porter Road.
2. **The use will not significantly detract from the character of the surrounding area** – Mr. Muire said there is a mix of commercial, institutional and residential uses in the area, and proximity and visibility of I-85 dictate this site and other vacant tracts be zoned appropriately for economic development. Mr. Muire reviewed the recent Staff-initiated rezonings of the area to attempt to refine its economic potential from the blanket CBI coverage instituted at the adoption of countywide zoning, but it also attempted to eliminate compatibility issues by allowing selective uses in the 85-ED districts and requiring development standards related to buffering, screening, impervious cover, etc.
  3. **Hazardous safety conditions will not result** – Mr. Muire said based on the list of uses allowed in the 85-ED-2 district, potentially hazardous materials or manufacturers are not permitted uses.
  4. **The noise will not generate significant noise, odor, glare or dust** – Mr. Muire said to refer to Item 11 Nuisance conditions and Item 14 Lighting contained in the Staff review of specific criteria.
  5. **Excessive traffic or parking problems will not result** – Mr. Muire said these items were covered in the Staff Report (Exhibit B).
  6. **The use will not create significant visual impacts for adjoining properties or passersby** – Mr. Muire said the buffering along the perimeter of the site would eliminate visual impacts to adjoining properties, while traffic on Porter Road and along I-85 will not be able to view the interior of the park. Mr. Muire said screening and fencing placed along the northern side lot line of Lot 1 and western boundary of Lot 2 further reduce visual impacts.

Mr. Muire said Planning Staff recommends approval of the conditional use permit request subject to the following conditions:

1. Incorporate the protective covenants for speedway Business Park with regard to setbacks, on-street parking, lighting and signs to allow enforcement by staff.
2. Approve the concept subdivision plan incorporating 150' and 180' buffers as shown.
3. Require implementation of Type B screening requirements within the required 40' perimeter buffer for new projects when existing vegetation fails to screen view from adjacent properties.
4. Subdivision improvements (road, water and sewer, etc.) must be completed (or bonded) and accepted by the respective agency prior to subdivision approval.

Mr. Muire distributed a copy of Suggested Findings prepared by Planning Staff in support of CUP-07-04 (Exhibit D).

Chairman Andrews opened the public hearing to entertain citizen input regarding CUP-07-04.

1. Cherry Vanhoy, a resident of Stafford Estates addressed the Board. Ms. Vanhoy said she thought the lease for the Quarter Midget Racetrack must be for at least 20 acres and not 19.407 acres. Ms. Vanhoy said, “We would like some answers for that one.”

Ms. Vanhoy said there was a drainage issue now that the berm has been built. Ms. Vanhoy said, “It doesn’t do very much for the sound because we can hear the interstate to a much greater extent than we could before the trees were taken out.”

Ms. Vanhoy said she would like input regarding the drainage issue and explained that there are three (3) homes whose backyards “front this property.” Ms. Vanhoy said the creeks were “re-routed or something” and the standing water had created a “mosquito nest.”

Ms. Vanhoy said neighbors are having an issue with selling their property. Ms. Vanhoy gave an example of a neighbor who had purchased his home for \$242,000, the tax value was \$232,000 and a recent appraisal valued the property at \$222,000. Ms. Vanhoy said the reason provided for the appraisal value was due to the racetrack. Ms. Vanhoy said she had been informed that people had declined to purchase homes due to the location of the racetrack. Ms. Vanhoy said the County Assessor had provided testimony that the racetrack would not affect property values but “it has greatly affected property values.”

Ms. Vanhoy proceeded by asking Commissioners if they had driven out to the area. Chairman Andrews said he had personally driven to the area and that a lot of research had been done. Chairman Andrews stressed that the public hearing was not on the Quarter Midget Racing facility but was on the rezoning and adopting of the business park. Chairman Andrews advised Ms. Vanhoy that her comments must be geared to the business park.

Ms. Vanhoy said she understood and said that the county was now building berms, walls and sound barriers that had already been done for the Quarter Midget facility. Ms. Vanhoy said what has already been done “doesn’t work and it’s not working properly.” Ms. Vanhoy said she was unaware if staff had investigated the affect on the property owners. Ms. Vanhoy asked what the noise level might be if more trees were taken out and more land was cleared. Ms. Vanhoy said, “While I can appreciate the job that each one of you do, I don’t know that you appreciate the people that currently live here.” Ms. Vanhoy said she didn’t understand where the tax revenue would come from the Quarter Midget Association, a non-profit organization.

Chairman Andrews interjected and reminded Ms. Vanhoy not to discuss the Quarter Midget facility.

Ms. Vanhoy said she receives different answers from the County Manager each time she calls the office with questions.

Ms. Vanhoy said if more trees are taken out and if walls are not properly installed, the drainage and noise problems would worsen. Ms. Vanhoy referred to the wall next to Mr. Ly's house and said she was under the impression it was supposed to be a concrete wall since it fronts the street. Ms. Vanhoy said Mr. Ly had thought the wall was installed to protect his children but that he had called "the department" and was told the wall was "put up to hide your home."

Ms. Vanhoy said she felt there was "not a lot of consideration going in to the human reality part of it here."

With no further citizen input Chairman Andrews closed the public hearing.

Mr. Muire responded to a query from Commissioner Mitchell that staff responds to noise complaints to ensure compliance. Mr. Muire reminded the Board that during the first year of operation, CUP-02-03 requires that the Quarter Midget facility be monitored on a random basis.

Commissioner Mitchell asked for an example of what would be comparable to 65 decibels. Mr. Muire recalled that 65 decibels would be comparable to the "speech in a room and the things going on in a room." Mr. Muire explained that to be able to hear his voice at that level from 150' away would be considered a "loud source." Mr. Muire said that he could get the Board specifics regarding tables for noise levels.

Chairman Andrews asked staff to provide the Board with responses to the concerns that had been raised. Chairman Andrews said he was confident the issues had been addressed and that he would like the public to know "we are checking everything."

Don Conner, Director of Environmental Services, addressed the drainage issue. Mr. Conner said the east side of the property backs up to Stafford Estates and "almost all that side of the property is a wetland." Mr. Conner said there is a stream there. Mr. Conner reported that the backs of all but one or two of the lots in Stafford Estates are classified as Wetlands Property. Mr. Conner said according to one (1) survey, one of the houses is actually located in the wetlands. Mr. Conner said that the area is expected to be wet but said, "Anything we've done that backs up the water worse than it was, we need to take care of."

In response to a query from Commissioner Tadlock regarding the interstate noise level, Mr. Muire recalled that Mike Casio previously took noise measurements before any work on the property began. Mr. Muire said that the "interstate is not in compliance." Mr. Muire said the interstate would always generate a higher noise level, depending on the traffic at the time of the noise measurement reading. Mr. Muire said Mr. Casio took an ambient reading and the calculations were based on the projected increase that any facility would generate.

Mr. Muire confirmed to Commissioner Tadlock that the interstate noise was beyond the county's control.

Marion Lytle, County Planner, recalled that all noise levels "on that side of the property exceeded 70 decibels."

Commissioner Blount moved to approve CUP-07-04 with the conditions suggested by staff and the **Findings of Fact** (Exhibit D) as submitted by staff. Commissioner Tadlock seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

The **Findings of Fact** were as follows:

1. The development of the property in accordance with the proposed conditions will not materially endanger the public health or safety.
2. That the development of the property in accordance with the proposed conditions will not substantially injure the value of adjoining or abutting property, or that the development is a public necessity.
3. That the location and character of the development in accordance with the proposed conditions will be in general harmony with the area in which it is located and in general conformity with any adopted County plans.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Chairman Andrews opened the Public Comment Period and invited citizens in attendance that wished to address the Board to come forward.

The following citizens came forward:

1. Doug Hefner, a resident of Stafford Estates, said he had attended Homeowners Association meetings where concerns were raised regarding the location of a campground/RV park, where a park might be located and the type of security that would be provided. Mr. Hefner said that he had not seen information in the newspaper "about how much this is costing county taxpayers." Mr. Hefner said, "knowing what you paid for this property at one time, and now what you're selling it for, is a huge loss, so that's a concern." Mr. Hefner said the project did not appear to have a lot of potential return.

Mr. Hefner suggested that it would help communications if a County Commissioner would attend a neighborhood association meeting, as well as a neighboring association meeting, and "come back with some answers to some of our questions."

Mr. Hefner said, "We want to have a nice place to live" and "we want Rowan County business to advance." Mr. Hefner stressed working together.

2. Vladimir Corporin said he had four (4) clients that live in Stafford Estates and one of the homes was being affected by the wetlands. Mr. Corporin said the

water backup problem had doubled for two (2) existing lots due to the excavation and asked what the County was prepared to do to correct the problem. Mr. Corporin said he has lost the sale of a home on two (2) occasions because of the racetrack and the flooding situation.

Commissioner Blount suggested that the Homeowners Association submit a letter with a list of questions and concerns, the County Manager and staff could provide the answers.

Mr. Corporin asked if he could submit pictures to the County Manager of the flooding before and after the excavation.

Commissioner Blount responded yes.

Mr. Russell reported that Glen Ketner left the meeting but would like to address the Board. Mr. Russell said he had called and advised Mr. Ketner to return to the meeting at 10:45 am.

Chairman Andrews called for a short break at 10:40 am.

Chairman Andrews reconvened the meeting at 10:50 am.

3. Attorney Glenn Ketner, of Ketner & Associates, addressed the Board. Mr. Ketner said he had met with Bill Dalton, owner of Dalton Communications, and his principal concern was the situation the company would face if something were to happen to one of the existing towers. Mr. Ketner said the company would have a non-conforming use due to the ordinance requirement for towers over 200' to be located in CBI districts.

Mr. Ketner referred to Item 2 in the last page of the handout and highlighted the concerns of Dalton Communications.

Mr. Ketner said the tower in China Grove was on a lease plan and explained that the property owner must request the rezoning. Mr. Ketner said the landowners were reluctant to seek the necessary rezoning.

Mr. Ketner said he had attended the initial Planning Board meetings when the "appropriate zoning" for the towers was considered to be RA.

Mr. Ketner said the CBI requirement has created "peripheral problems" and that Dalton Communications would like to see the CBI requirement removed for the "tall towers."

Mr. Ketner referred to the time limits for challenging the adoption of the text. Mr. Ketner said he had until approximately May 15, 2004 to challenge the decision. Mr. Ketner requested that the Board "go back to the RA requirement."

Commissioner Blount referred to the property owners in China Grove whom Mr. Ketner had said were reluctant to seek rezoning.

Mr. Ketner said this was his understanding, but that he had not spoken directly with the property owners.

Commissioner Blount continued by asking if the landowners were not interested in having the tower on their property.

Mr. Ketner said, "It is my understanding that it has been difficult to even locate those property owners, those individuals." Mr. Ketner said a brother and sister inherited the property and they appeared to be unwilling to ask for the rezoning. Mr. Ketner said the property owners were receiving revenue for the tower currently on the property.

Chairman Andrews thanked Mr. Ketner for his comments.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

With no further business to be brought before the Board, Chairman Andrews adjourned the meeting at 11:00 am.

Respectfully Submitted,

Rita K. Foil, CMC  
Clerk to the Board