

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE
ROWAN COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
May 21, 2012 – 6:00 PM**

J. NEWTON COHEN, SR. ROOM

J. NEWTON COHEN, SR. ROWAN COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
.....

Present: Chad Mitchell, Chairman
Carl Ford, Vice-Chairman
Jon Barber, Member
Raymond Coltrain, Member
Jim Sides, Member

County Manager Gary Page, Clerk to the Board Carolyn Athey, County Attorney Jay Dees and Finance Director Leslie Heidrick were present.

Chairman Mitchell convened the meeting at 6:00 p.m.

Commissioner Barber provided the Invocation and also led the Pledge of Allegiance.

CONSIDER APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Commissioner Barber moved, Commissioner Coltrain seconded and the vote to approve the minutes of the April 30, 2012 and May 7, 2012 Commission Meetings passed unanimously.

CONSIDER ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA

- Commissioner Ford moved to add a request from the Board of Elections to submit a grant application. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Barber and passed unanimously.

Chairman Mitchell added the issue as Consent Agenda item E.

CONSIDER DELETIONS FROM THE AGENDA

Commissioner Sides moved to pull agenda item #11 (Consider Amendment to Incentive Agreement With Henkel) from the agenda and to place the topic on the June 4, 2012 agenda. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Ford.

Commissioner Coltraine inquired as to the reason for the motion and Commissioner Sides explained that the request would actually involve a new agreement. Commissioner Sides said he needed the opportunity to review some questions with the Economic Development Director.

Upon being put to a vote, the motion on the floor passed unanimously.

CONSIDER APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Commissioner Sides moved, Commissioner Barber seconded and the vote to approve the agenda passed unanimously.

1. CONSIDER APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

Commissioner Ford moved approval of the Consent Agenda. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Sides and passed unanimously.

The Consent Agenda consisted of the following:

Consider Approval of Consent Agenda:

- A. Set Public Hearing for June 4, 2012 Y 2013 Rural Operating Assistance Program
- B. Ambulance Franchise Application for Quality Care of Rowan County
- C. Pyrotechnics Display for Faith Fourth of July Celebration
- D. Resolution For Elections Boards Association of North Carolina Maintenance of Effort Requirement For the Release of Help America Vote Act (HAVA) Funds
- E. Request from Board of Elections to Submit Grant Application for HAVA Title I Funds (addition to the Consent Agenda)

2. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Chairman Mitchell opened the Public Comment Period to entertain comments from any citizens wishing to address the Board. The following individuals came forward:

- Craig Pierce spoke in support of agenda item #9 regarding a proposed community hangar at the Rowan County Airport.
- Larry Wright addressed the Board regarding his observations of elections in other states. Mr. Wright encouraged voters to look closely at candidates.
- Alvin Drye spoke in support of requiring voter identification in the elections process.

With no one else wishing to address the Board, Chairman Mitchell closed the Public Comment Period.

Chairman Mitchell took a moment to recognize Boy Scout Troop #443, which was in attendance from St. John's Lutheran Church.

3. PUBLIC HEARING FOR Z 04-12

Planner Andy Goodall provided a power point presentation as he reported that Terri Hyde and Tim Eddleman owned tax parcel 249B032 located at 280 Sassy Lane, which is located behind the Dollar General Store on Enochville Avenue in Kannapolis. The 6.4 acre parcel is currently zoned Rural Agricultural (RA) and Commercial, Business, Industrial (CBI). Mr. Goodall explained how the multi-zoned parcel was created. Mr. Goodall said Ms. Hyde and Mr. Eddleman request that the 3.15 acre CBI zoning district on tax parcel 249B032 be rezoned to RA. Mr. Goodall said the request would eliminate the CBI zoning district from the residential parcel.

Using the power point presentation, Mr. Goodall showed the property in question as well as the surrounding areas.

Mr. Goodall said Staff recommended approval of rezoning 2.5 acres of the CBI zoning district to RA. The remaining .65 acres around the telecommunications tower should remain in the CBI district in order to not create a non-conforming use.

Mr. Goodall said the Planning Board recommended the following Statement of Consistency for the Commissioners' consideration:

The RA designation as outlined by the current guidelines provides a minimum level of land use regulations. The use of the property will not be affected by the change in zoning. No impact on infrastructure, schools or traffic would occur.

Mr. Goodall said the Planning Board voted unanimously to recommend approval of the request with Staff's recommended changes.

Chairman Mitchell opened the public hearing to receive citizen input regarding Z 04-12. With no one wishing to address the Board, Chairman Mitchell closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Sides moved to adopt the Statement of Consistency as recommended. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Ford and passed unanimously.

Commissioner Sides moved, Commissioner Ford seconded and the vote to approve Z 04-12 with Staff's recommended changes passed unanimously.

4. PUBLIC HEARING FOR ADDRESS CHANGE FROM 101 A. BARE STREET TO 501 CARTER STREET

Planning Technician Fredda Greer reported that the Board of Elections office had contacted GIS Staff concerning an address on a road that was not recognized in the County's Master Street Addressing Guide (MSAG). Ms. Greer said further investigation revealed a road sign had been erected; however, correspondence

with the County's maintenance staff indicated the sign had not been made and installed by Rowan County.

Ms. Greer said Staff contacted the property owner first by phone and then by letter requesting the sign be removed and the address for the property be changed to 501 Carter Street. Ms. Greer said Anthony Bare, property owner, told Staff he was under the impression the road had been named through the proper procedure during the commission term of Jamima DeMarcus and that his request to have the end of the road (.04 miles) pulled from NCDOT maintenance was honored during that time. Since the road does not meet criteria for naming now nor at any time per the road naming ordinance, Staff researched commission records and found only the request for NCDOT removal being presented to the Board of Commissioners on September 12, 1994. Mr. Bare told Staff he requested the removal in order to build an accessory storage building at the end of the driveway.

Ms. Greer said the driveway off the end of Carter Street did not meet the County's road naming ordinance criteria for naming, nor was the name, A. Bare Street, an acceptable name to E-911.

Ms. Greer said because the road did not meet the criteria for naming and because Mr. Bare indicated by phone that he is unwilling to make an address correction, he wanted to bring the situation before the Board to keep the road name and address.

Ms. Greer said Staff requested an address correction from 101 A. Bare Street to 501 Carter Street.

Commissioner Sides asked why the driveway did not meet the road naming criteria and Ms. Greer said there was only one structure. Ms. Greer added that the name of A. Bare also did not fit in with the road naming criteria.

Commissioner Sides asked if it would be acceptable for the Board to approve the name of A. Bare and Ms. Greer said it might open the door for other one-structure road names.

Commissioner Sides said there had never been a problem with the road name before and that he was trying to understand why the name of A. Bare would not meet with the approval of 911. Frank Thomason, Emergency Services Director, explained that when individual letters are used with a road name, the letters can be confused with north, south, etc. Mr. Thomason said he realized that would not be the case with A. Bare; however, the individual letters created confusion when dispatching calls. Mr. Thomason said there are numerous problems with individual lettering and the road names could be heard differently and entered differently. Mr. Thomason said Staff was more than willing to work with property

owners to come up with acceptable names. Mr. Thomason reported that a road by the name of Bare Street already existed.

Chairman Mitchell opened the public hearing to receive citizen input regarding the proposed address correction. The following individuals came forward:

- Anthony Bare said A. Bare Street was named after him and that he had been maintaining the road since 1994 when it was changed to a private drive. Mr. Bare said he preferred to keep the same road name.

Commissioner Sides moved to recognize 101 A. Bare Street as a county recognized street. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Barber.

With no one else wishing to address the Board, Chairman Mitchell closed the public hearing.

The motion on the floor passed unanimously.

5. PUBLIC HEARING FOR PROPOSED ROAD NAME OF PACIFIC DRIVE

Planning Technician Fredda Greer said this afternoon Staff received a call asking for additional time to have input on the proposed road name. Ms. Greer asked the Board's pleasure in regards to pulling the matter from the agenda.

Commissioner Sides moved, Commissioner Ford seconded and the vote to hold the public hearing on June 4, 2012 passed unanimously.

6. PUBLIC HEARING FOR PROPOSED ROAD NAME OF HIGH FIELDS DRIVE

Planning Technician Fredda Greer explained that on March 10, 2012, a telecommunicator took an E-911 medical call for 1925 Sloan Road in Mt. Ulla. It was discovered at that time the driveway was listed incorrectly off the 1900 block and structures were addressed with 1900 numbers. Ms. Greer said the road actually runs off the 1600 block and the numbers should be changed to reflect the location.

Ms. Greer said the driveway currently services only two (2) structures; however, the acreage had the potential for more structures through the subdivision of the property. Staff proposed naming the road now and addressing the structures accordingly.

Ms. Greer said the one property owner, Doris Shoaf Williams, was notified by mail and given 30 days to provide input into the road name. No response was received and Staff recommended proceeding with the road name of High Fields Drive.

Chairman Mitchell opened the public hearing to receive citizen input regarding the proposed road name of High Fields Drive.

- Russ Williams said he and his wife had been at their residence since 1991 and had no problems. Mr. Williams also said he had no problem with the Board changing the road name.

With no one else wishing to address the Board, Chairman Mitchell closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Sides moved approval of High Fields Drive. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Barber and passed unanimously.

7. PUBLIC HEARING FOR PROPOSED ROAD NAME OF CALIFORNIA DRIVE

Planning Technician Fredda Greer said Staff identified a road currently unnamed and located west off the 400 block of Joe Rankin Road as meeting the road naming criteria. Ms. Greer said there were four (4) structures located on the road that used addresses for Joe Rankin Road. Ms. Greer said the property owners were identified and given the opportunity to provide input into naming the road. Ms. Greer said one of the notices to property owner, Rosemary Sherrill, was returned as “not deliverable as addressed, unable to forward”. Ms. Greer said Staff proposed to proceed with the road name of California Drive.

Chairman Mitchell opened the public hearing to receive citizen input regarding the proposed road name of California Drive. With no one wishing to address the Board, Chairman Mitchell closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Coltrain moved, Commissioner Ford seconded and the vote to approve the road name of California Drive passed unanimously.

8. PUBLIC HEARING FOR PROPOSED ROAD NAME OF AMBERJACK DRIVE

Planning Technician Fredda Greer reported that a driveway running east off the 1800 block of Emanuel Church Road served three (3) addressed structures and met the criteria for naming. Ms. Greer said Staff notified the property owners by letter and gave them the opportunity to have input in naming of the road. There was no response within thirty (30) days. Ms. Greer said Staff proposed to proceed with the suggested name, Amberjack Drive.

Ms. Greer said Staff posted the road with a notice of the public hearing and the property owner, Frank Hoyt brought the sign back to the office and said he did not want the County to name his road. Ms. Greer said to date, she had nothing further from the property owners.

Chairman Mitchell opened the public hearing to receive citizen input regarding the proposed road name of Amberjack Drive. The following individuals came forward:

- Frank Hoyt said he had gone to the Planning Department three (3) times and Ms. Greer was not present nor did she return his messages for her to call. Mr. Hoyt said the road assignments were made in 1991 and the addresses had been used since then.
- A second individual who did not provide his name questioned the criteria for naming a road. Chairman Mitchell said the road must be named when there were three (3) addressable structures on the road.

The individual asked if the road could be left as a driveway without a road sign if one (1) of the addressable structures was removed. The individual said they had trouble over the years keeping people out of the driveway.

Commissioner Coltrain said the challenges arose when calls were received for emergency services. Commissioner Coltrain said the calls could come from other individuals that do not necessarily live on the property. The second individual said they understood the main point; however, he said the property was private and there should not be anyone else back there.

With no one else wishing to address the Board, Chairman Mitchell closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Sides said the road assignments had been recognized since 1991 with no one having trouble locating the property. Commissioner Sides said he preferred to leave the addressing as is and to install a road sign for Emanuel Church Road.

Commissioner Coltrain inquired as to the negative for leaving the road as is and Ms. Greer said there was always the possibility that a 911 responder might not be familiar with the area.

Commissioner Ford questioned the possibility of the County following the road sign process used by Cabarrus County and Mr. Thomason said Staff could look into it.

Commissioner Coltrain moved to leave the addressing as is, get a sign made up showing the numbers on the sign and put the sign at the entrance of the road. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Sides and passed unanimously.

9. CONSIDER REQUEST FOR PROPOSED COMMUNITY HANGAR

Travis Pence of the Wilson Group provided a power point presentation and discussed the proposed community hangar.

Mr. Pence said the layout was shown in its community storage configuration. Mr. Pence showed the area of thickened slab which would be designed for future, larger corporate aircraft as the project converted over to a private hangar.

Mr. Pence showed how the private hangar would look when housing various aircraft and also how the hangar would look with the addition of the office space. Mr. Pence said the building would be 15,000 square feet, 150x100 feet, with the provision for a future attached 3,000 square foot office space of 30x100. Mr. Pence said there would be 18 vehicular parking spaces that would be adjacent to the hangar with one of those being a van accessible handicap parking space.

Mr. Pence continued to highlight the construction of the proposed hangar.

Mr. Pence said the estimated budget for the project for the hangar construction was \$1,025,000. The hangar site construction was estimated at \$192,000. The entrance road and utilities construction cost was estimated at \$200,000 and the engineering design, testing, surveying, inspection and miscellaneous cost was estimated at \$250,000. Mr. Pence said the total for the project was \$1,667,000.

Commissioner Coltrain mentioned a new product being manufactured by Boral Brick Company and said it might be worth asking if Boral Brick would be willing to provide the product for the hangar if it met the safety criteria. Commissioner Coltrain said the product could significantly reduce the cost of construction. Commissioner Coltrain said the material was being made in the form of boards and Boral Brick was contracting with a local company to plane the boards. Commissioner Coltrain said that Boral Brick received an incentive from the County and may be willing to supply that material as a demonstration or experimental point.

Commissioner Sides felt the Airport was one of the best economic development tools in the County and that the Airport was not utilized as it should be. Commissioner Sides said the draw back was the limited runway length, which was an issue that could not be immediately addressed. Commissioner Sides attributed the good financial shape of the Airport to the income from the T-hangars. Commissioner Sides said when the idea of a large hangar came up he was concerned with the cost based on the current economy. Commissioner Sides said he pushed for the Economic Development Commission (EDC) to promote the Airport and the EDC had done an excellent job. Commissioner Sides also praised Robert Van Geons, EDC Director, for being involved in many of the discussions. Commissioner Sides believed if the hangar was built it would not be leased to small organizations for long periods of time but rather to a corporate client who would help pay for it in ten (10) years. Commissioner Sides expressed concern with the cost of the project and said he would want to make changes and try to build the hangar for less money if the County was going to have to borrow all the funds. Commissioner Sides said if funds would be

available upfront for the project, he would be much more inclined to go with the plan as is. Commissioner Sides said he was not ready to vote tonight to allocate \$1.6 million for the project. Commissioner Sides said it was something he wanted to see move forward and he thought the Commissioners might be well advised to hold off until after the budget sessions before making a commitment.

Commissioner Coltrain called Thad Howell, Airport Director, forward and asked how many times Mr. Howell had been asked by a passerby for space for larger aircrafts. Mr. Howell responded that he had been approached about 15 times over the course of a year.

Commissioner Coltrain questioned the price of nightly rental and Mr. Howell said the average was \$250.00 per night.

Commissioner Coltrain inquired as to whether Mr. Howell felt the need existed for the proposed hangar. Mr. Howell said he had been talking with a gentleman from Lake Norman who was interested in placing his aircraft in Rowan County, based on the outcome of the Board's decision for the community hangar. Mr. Howell went on to say it was hard to gauge if there was interest when the plans were not confirmed.

Commissioner Coltrain asked if any of the T-hangars were vacant and Mr. Howell replied that the community hangar would be less expensive than a single T-hangar. Mr. Howell said the T-hangars started out at \$250.00, the smaller aircraft would be in the neighborhood of \$200.00. Mr. Howell said aircraft would receive shelter from the community hangar without the price tag of being in a hangar by itself.

In response to inquiries from Commissioner Coltrain, Mr. Howell discussed the estimated return on the hangar, including fuel sales, and anticipated tax revenue. Mr. Howell also said Rowan County's location was very strategic in that it was outside of Charlotte's Class B air space.

Commissioner Barber questioned if the Board was going to wait until further discussions occurred during the budget process.

Commissioner Sides made a motion to defer a decision on the hangar until after the Board of Commissioners had established the budget for the year (FY 2012-13). Commissioner Barber seconded the motion.

Commissioner Barber said the County Manager would be delivering the proposed budget very shortly and the budget had no recommended dollars for a community hangar. Commissioner Barber felt the issue would open itself up for a lively debate in the budget discussions. Commissioner Barber said he would feel more comfortable if the Board had received letters of intent from people

indicating they would seriously consider coming to Rowan County. Commissioner Barber felt the County had other needs to be addressed.

Commissioner Ford expressed hope the County would be able to build the hangar and that it would be part of the budget. Commissioner Ford said that after speaking with people in Senator Burr's office, he understood economic development at the Airport would expedite the County's funding for the runway extension. Commissioner Ford said if the County got the runway extension, people would be able to take off and fly to California, which would help bring in NASCAR teams and other companies. Commissioner Ford felt the community hangar was important for economic development and would pay for itself. Commissioner Ford said he was concerned with the high cost and would like to see the price get down in the \$1 million range.

Chairman Mitchell said the County would need to come up with around \$170,000 per year in the budget for debt service payment.

Upon being put to a vote, the motion on the floor to table the matter passed unanimously.

Commissioner Coltrain asked Mr. Howell to explore the new material from Boral Brick and Mr. Howell agreed.

Chairman Mitchell called for a recess at 7:20 pm.

Chairman Mitchell reconvened the meeting at 7:40 pm.

10. CONSIDER APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF CARDINAL INNOVATIONS HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS

Bill Burgin, Chair of the PBH Board, said mental health was merging from 5 to 15 counties in an effort to provide good, high quality services to citizens. Mr. Burgin then introduced Anna Yon, Executive Director for Piedmont Community Operations Center for PBH.

Ms. Yon explained that PBH operated the 1915(b)(c) Medicaid Waivers and operated as a managed care LME through providers that provide services for mental health, intellectual and developmental disabilities, and substance abuse. Ms. Yon reported that last summer a session law was passed to merge and expand Medicaid Waivers, which caused PBH to move and expand into other counties. Ms. Yon said the (b)(c) waiver that PBH currently operated would be expanding throughout the State. Ms. Yon said January 2013 would be the last MCO LME that would come on board. Ms. Yon said that as PBH moved to expand into fifteen (15) counties, it was realized the current 122(c) statute stated there would be two (2) options with the first being three (3) appointments by each County Commission. Ms. Yon said PBH currently operated under this option. Ms. Yon said when the merger was complete with all 15 counties in July, the

board size would be forty-five (45). Ms. Yon said PBH sat down with its current board to come up with a strategy that would meet the needs of the counties, as well as the governing structure. Ms. Yon said the second option of 122(c) was if all of the boards of LME, MCO of PBH would agree, something could be done differently. Ms. Yon discussed the background and what PBH was doing differently. Ms. Yon explained PBH had developed community operation centers within each of the areas. Ms. Yon said she oversaw all of the operations for Cabarrus, Davidson, Rowan, Stanly, and Union Counties. Ms. Yon said she also works with the providers and the stakeholders in the communities to ensure the needs are met. Ms. Yon said she sees to accountability that the funds that are used in the County are reported to the County Manager at least quarterly so there is awareness as to how the funds are being spent.

Ms. Yon said with the current structure and community oversight, PBH wanted to look at providing a board that would be local. Ms. Yon said for the PBH community operations center, the County Commissioners would appoint three (3) individuals to that local board. Ms. Yon said it could be a County Commissioner designee and two (2) other individuals with interest in mental health, developmental disabilities, or substance abuse. Ms. Yon said in the community oversight board, PBH would work specifically in identifying the needs, the gaps, the services within the County, and brainstorm for solutions in making sure the needs were being met specifically for Rowan County. Ms. Yon said from that community oversight board there would be a person appointed to the governing board. Ms. Yon said there would be thirteen (13) members, with two (2) County Commissioners appointed to the governing board, being at large positions. Ms. Yon said there would be six (6) specialty positions, healthcare, finance, etc. to oversee that governing board. Ms. Yon said there would also be someone appointed from each of the community oversight boards.

Ms. Yon asked for the Board of Commissioners to approve the Joint Resolution.

Chairman Mitchell said the changes were fairly substantial to the current operations.

Commissioner Sides asked if the Commissioners would be voting to allow a forty-five (45) member board or a thirteen (13) member board. Ms. Yon responded that approval of the Resolution would allow the thirteen (13) member board to the governance structure at the top, the governing board. Ms. Yon said it would also be looking at community oversight boards that would oversee the local functions, specific to Rowan County.

Commissioner Sides questioned whether this would be eliminating the option of the forty-five (45) member board, to which Ms. Yon replied yes. Commissioner Coltrain said the expansion and growth of the organizations was mandated by the State and forcing the counties to grow in this direction. Commissioner Coltrain went on to say it was extremely commendable that PBH

was recommending a governing board in a manageable size. Commissioner Coltrain pointed out that the governing board included representation from each of the county communities and the input was being maintained from the local needs and operations of the communities. Commissioner Coltrain said in looking at the counties, it was a fairly diversified environment, from a very rural county such as Halifax, to Chatham or Union.

Commissioner Coltrain moved to approve the Resolution and the motion was seconded by Commissioner Barber.

In response to an inquiry from Chairman Mitchell, Ms. Yon clarified that every oversight board had at least one person on the governing board itself.

Chairman Mitchell questioned which counties would be in the oversight area with Rowan and Ms. Yon replied Cabarrus, Davidson, Stanly, and Union.

Chairman Mitchell asked if that community oversight board would be a fifteen (15) member board and Ms. Yon responded yes.

Chairman Mitchell clarified by stating that Rowan County Commissioners would appoint directly three (3) people to a fifteen (15) member board for the community oversight board, then that community oversight board would select one of its members to go to the governing board. Chairman Mitchell said each County was not guaranteed a seat on the governing board.

Chairman Mitchell said that Rowan County's representation to the governing board may very well be individuals from Cabarrus, Union, Stanly, and Davidson. Ms. Yon responded that scenario could potentially be true. Ms. Yon said with the oversight board there would be more involvement in developing services as well as indentifying the gaps.

Chairman Mitchell said the more practical decisions would obviously affect the service levels that are attained in any particular area. Mr. Burgin said there was certainly a relationship between the two but the function of the board the Commissioners would make appointments to would be the service side.

In response to an inquiry from Commissioner Sides, Ms. Yon discussed the number of employees, which was seventy-five (75). Ms. Yon said the employees had been with PBH for some time.

Chairman Mitchell asked what the appropriation to PBH was and County Manager Gary Page said \$543,000.

Mr. Burgin said that because counties give different amounts, the policy had been that every dollar a county gave would go back to that county.

Chairman Mitchell said the model would stay in place.

Ms. Yon said a report was presented to Mr. Page quarterly that identified where the County's dollars were going.

Mr. Burgin said it was that efficiency that was driving the State in this direction.

Commissioner Barber said in order to provide efficiencies and to deliver the high cost of services, PBH, as it moved into this LME, should be commended. Commissioner Barber felt the county was getting their dollars' worth.

Upon being put to a vote, the motion on the floor to approve the Resolution passed unanimously.

11. CONSIDER AMENDMENT TO INCENTIVE AGREEMENT WITH HENKEL

This topic was deleted from the agenda at the beginning of the meeting.

12. SET DATE/TIME FOR PUBLIC HEARING FOR FY 2012-13 BUDGET

Chairman Mitchell said the County Manager had presented the FY 2012-13 proposed budget. Chairman Mitchell asked the Board's pleasure for setting a date and time to conduct the public hearing on the proposed budget. Chairman Mitchell suggested the Board hold its regular meeting on June 4, 2012 at 3:00 p.m., recess if necessary, and reconvene for the public hearing at 5:30 p.m.

Commissioner Sides moved to hold the public hearing for the FY 2012-13 proposed budget on June 4, 2012 at 5:30 p.m. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Barber and passed unanimously.

Commissioner Sides moved to hold a budget work session on June 11, 2012 at 3:00 p.m. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Barber and passed unanimously.

Chairman Mitchell mentioned that the Board typically meets once during the month of July if possible and he suggested finding out if Staff was aware of any issues that would require a second meeting.

13. CONSIDER NACo DENTAL DISCOUNT PROGRAM

Commissioner Barber discussed the recent launching of the NACo Dental Discount Program. Commissioner Barber said he wanted to determine if the Board wished to instruct staff to pursue a checklist regarding possible implementation of the program.

Commissioner Barber also discussed the NACo Prescription Card Discount Plan and the savings that had been realized by Rowan County citizens since it began.

Commissioner Barber highlighted the details of the Dental Discount Program and asked if the Board wished to launch a program the citizens could benefit from. Commissioner Barber said dental accessibility was needed.

Commissioner Ford pointed out costs were involved with the Prescription Card Program due to the involvement of employees who spent hours packing and delivering the cards. Commissioner Ford said a county commissioner had also helped to deliver the cards.

Commissioner Barber said the undertaking referenced had been to get the forms to all the students in the schools and that it had been the Board's decision to do so.

Commissioner Barber said the only effort for the Dental Discount Plan was whether the Board wanted to ask Staff to look at it and also have the County Attorney look at the contract. Commissioner Barber felt it was worth asking Staff to look into.

Commissioner Barber confirmed to Commissioner Coltrain that there would be no capital dollars allocated and the Dental Discount Plan would be a matter of staff time to develop and facilitate in making citizens aware of the Plan.

Commissioner Coltrain felt that at \$69 per year, it would be good to have access to the program. Commissioner Coltrain said he would assume the information would be disseminated to the Health Department and Department of Social Services as the vehicles.

Commissioner Coltrain moved to ask Staff to follow up and get more detailed information necessary for the Board to determine if it wanted to offer program for the citizens. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Barber.

Commissioner Sides said he would support the motion with a modification. Commissioner Sides discussed another prescription discount program called Pro Act. Commissioner Sides said there were no costs associated with Pro Act. Commissioner Sides explained that a portion of the Pro Act program would allow money to come to the County. Commissioner Sides said he did not want the County to receive any funds but rather allow the savings to go to the citizens. Commissioner Sides said if the motion would include allowing Staff to look at Pro Act to see if it offered the prescription card at a greater savings than the NACo Prescription Card and possibly putting the two (2) together, he would support the motion.

Commissioner Coltrain said he would be glad to include Commissioner Sides' suggestion in the motion. Commissioner Coltrain said it should be understood that as Staff explored the possibility and other options became known, they

should also be explored. Commissioner Coltrain suggested the information be brought back to the Board in six (6) months.

Commissioner Barber felt the information should be brought back in thirty (30) days.

Commissioner Coltrain responded that with upcoming summer vacations and upcoming budget discussions, the matter should be brought back during the first meeting in August.

Chairman Mitchell repeated the motion with the deadline of August 6, 2012 for the information to be presented to the Board.

Commissioner Coltrain inquired as to who would research the information and Chairman Mitchell responded the County Manager.

Upon being put to a vote, the motion on the floor passed unanimously.

14. CLOSED SESSION: PERSONNEL

Commissioner Ford moved that the Board enter Closed Session pursuant to North Carolina General Statute § 143-318.11(a)(6) for a Personnel Matter at 8:17 p.m. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Coltrain and passed unanimously.

The Board returned to Open Session at 8:47 p.m. No action was taken.

15 ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, Commissioner Sides moved to adjourn at 8:47 p.m. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Coltrain and passed unanimously.

Respectfully Submitted,

Carolyn Athey, CMC, NCCCC
Clerk to the Board/
Assistant to the County Manager